



AF/IFW
PATENT

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor:	JUNG et al.	Examiner:	Wayne A. Langel
Application No.:	10/735,257	Art Unit:	1754
Filed:	December 12, 2003	Docket No.	ASIAP001
Title:	COMPACT PARTIAL OXIDATION REACTOR ASSEMBLAGE WITH FAST START-UP CAPABILITY		

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in a prepaid envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on:

3/20, 2007.

Elaine Nguyen
Elaine Nguyen

TRANSMITTAL OF AMENDMENT B

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is Amendment B in response to Office Action mailed December 20, 2006 in the above-identified application.

The fee has been calculated as shown below.

CLAIMS	After Amd.	HP*	Extra	Small Entity			Large Entity	
				Rate	Fee		Rate	Fee
Total	14		-0-	x \$25 = \$		OR	x \$50 = \$	
Independent	1		-0-	x \$100 = \$		OR	x \$200 = \$	
Multiple Dependent Claims				x \$180 = \$		OR	x \$360 = \$	
*HP = Highest previously paid				TOTAL FEE \$		OR	TOTAL FEE \$	-0-

☐ Applicant(s) hereby petition for following extension of time in which to respond to the outstanding Office Action.

	SMALL ENTITY			LARGE ENTITY	
	Rate	Add'l Fee		Rate	Add'l Fee
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension for Response within FIRST month	x \$60 = \$		OR	x \$120 = \$	
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension for Response within SECOND month	x \$225 = \$		OR	x \$450 = \$	
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension for Response within THIRD month	x \$510 = \$		OR	x \$1020 = \$	
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension for Response within FOURTH month	x \$795 = \$		OR	x \$1590 = \$	
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension for Response within FIFTH month	x \$1080 = \$		OR	x \$2160 = \$	

☒ Applicant(s) believe that no (additional) Extension of Time is required; however, if it is determined that such an extension is required, Applicant(s) hereby petition that such an extension be granted and authorize the Commissioner to charge the required fees for an Extension of Time under 37 CFR 1.136 to Deposit Account No. 50-0685. (ASIAP001).

☐ Enclosed is our Check No. __ in the amount of \$_____ to cover the additional claim fee and/or extension of time fees.

☐ Enclosed is Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form, PTOL-413A.

☐ Enclosed are _____ sheets replacement drawings.

☐ Please charge Deposit Account No. 50-0685 (ASIAP001) in the amount of \$_____ to cover the additional claim fee and/or extension of time fees.

☒ If the required fees are missing or any additional fees are required during the pendency of the subject application, please charge such fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0685 (ASIAP001).

☐ OTHER:

Respectfully submitted,
VAN PELT, YI & JAMES LLP



William J. James
Registration No. 40,661

10050 N. Foothill Blvd., Suite 200
Cupertino, CA 95014
Telephone: 408-973-2585



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor:	JUNG	Examiner:	Wayne A. Langel
Application No.:	10/735,257	Art Unit:	1754
Filed:	December 12, 2003	Docket No.:	ASIAP001
Title:	COMPACT PARTIAL OXIDATION REACTOR ASSEMBLAGE WITH FAST START-UP CAPABILITY		

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in a prepaid envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on:

3/20, 2007.

Elaine Nguyen
Elaine Nguyen

**AMENDMENT B AND REQUEST UNDER 37 CFR 1.116 FOR ENTRY
OF AFTER FINAL AMENDMENT**

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed December 20, 2006. The following after final amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 and supporting remarks are respectfully submitted. Applicants submit that entry of the below Amendment after final rejection should be permitted based on the facts and arguments presented in the Examiner interview conducted on March 2, 2007, and the Examiner's indication during that interview and in the Examiner's written summary of the interview that agreement was reached that the claims as rejected in the December 20, 2006 Office Action are allowable, subject to the amendments made herein to render the claims more definite, as suggested by the Examiner.